

APA Collaborative Programming Tutorial

CONTENT OF TUTORIAL

- Description of collaborative programming and proposals
- Deadline for proposals
- Process of reviewing collaborative proposals
- Criteria for reviewing collaborative proposals
- Tips for successful proposals
- Reminder of deadline for proposals

WHAT IS COLLABORATIVE PROGRAMMING?

- Beginning in 2014, the APA Council designated 125 hours during the convention to be allocated to collaborative programming.
- The purpose of collaborative programming is to pull together multiple perspectives on a significant issue for psychologists and society at large, and should involve more than one core area of psychology (i.e., science, practice, education, public interest).
- The collaborative programming was also developed to help increase the number of people attending sessions and to reduce the number of competing sessions.

WHAT ARE COLLABORATIVE PROPOSALS?

- 1 or 2 hour session proposals that highlight collaborative ideas and integrative approaches
- Collaborative proposals must include a minimum of 2 Divisions (with a max of 7).
- One Division is designated as the primary Division.
- Must have at least 2 participants and a chairperson.
- The proposal will include a 300 word general summary and a 300 word summary of each participant's contribution. For full instructions please see the Call for Proposals (<http://www.apa.org/convention/convention-proposals.pdf>)
- Proposals are submitted through the APA convention website (<http://www.apa.org/convention/>)

WHAT IS THE DEADLINE FOR COLLABORATIVE PROPOSALS?

- The deadline for submitting collaborative proposals is October 13th
- Note: the deadline for Divisional programming is December 1st

WHAT IS THE PROCESS FOR REVIEWING COLLABORATIVE PROPOSALS?

- Stage 1 Review Process:
 - Proposals are initially reviewed by the Divisions listed as relevant to the proposal by the submitter (i.e., collaborating divisions).
 - If at least 50% of those Divisions approve the program, the proposal is forwarded to the Central Programming Group (CPG) for review.
- Stage 2 Review Process:
 - The CPG selects the final set of collaborative programs using the review criteria provided on the next slide.
 - **Proposals not selected by the CPG are returned to the “primary” Division and can be included in that, or another listed Division’s, Divisional programming.**

WHAT ARE THE CPG* CRITERIA FOR REVIEWING COLLABORATIVE PROGRAMS?

- Proposal has broad appeal to various audiences of APA members
- Work is clearly important to the field
- Work is current and timely
- Work is coherently organized and provides a well-written summary
- Proposal offers original, innovative content
- Session offers an interactive/creative presentation format
- Work gives appropriate attention to diversity
- Claims/recommendations are science/evidence-based
- Overall recommendation

* CPG is the Central Programming Group

TIPS FOR SUCCESSFUL COLLABORATIVE PROPOSALS

- Be innovative with your presentation format. The CPG has strongly emphasized their desire to have innovative presentation formats that go beyond typical presentation formats. This could involve the use of technology or interactive formats.
- Consider developing presentations that are relevant to science, practice, and policy.
- Actively develop your proposal with other divisions versus developing them separately and then requesting co-sponsorship.
- Recruit the individuals with the most expertise from your division to bring together experts from across divisions on one key area.

REMINDER OF KEY DEADLINES

- The deadline for submitting collaborative proposals is October 13th
- Note: the deadline for Divisional programming is December 1st

QUESTIONS?

- Please contact Nate von der Embse, 2018 APA Convention Chair, with any questions: natev@usf.edu